SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS			
LEONETTI, AMANDA			CITY OF PHILADELPHIA and POLICE OFFICER JEAN SPICER		
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff PHILADELPHIA	County of Residence o	f First Listed Defendant	PHILADELPHIA	
•	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O	· ·	
			O CONDEMNATION CASES, US NVOLVED.	E THE LOCATION OF THE	
` '	e, Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known)	Attorneys (If Known)		
Harry J. Kane, Jr., Esq.	, Saffren & Weinberg, 815 Greenwood town, PA 19046 (215) 576-0100	_			
II. BASIS OF JURISI		II. CITIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State		PTF DEF incipal Place	
☐ 2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity	Citizen of Another State			
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		of Business In A	Another State	
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	0 6 0 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY	☐ 610 Agriculture	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment	
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 620 Other Food & Drug	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking	
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 365 Personal Injury -	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	28 USC 157	450 Commerce	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	■ 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability	☐ 630 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 460 Deportation	
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander	☐ 640 R.R. & Truck ☐ 650 Airline Regs.	☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability Liability	☐ 660 Occupational	☐ 840 Trademark	☐ 480 Consumer Credit	
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPERT ☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud	Y Safety/Health ☐ 690 Other		☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service	
(Excl. Veterans)☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment		LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Personal	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	Exchange 875 Customer Challenge	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage Product Liability ☐ 385 Property Damage	Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410	
195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal Product Liability	730 Labor/Mgmt Reporting	864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions	
☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY	Injury CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS	& Disclosure Act S: [7 740 Railway Labor Act	7 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS	□ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate	☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 893 Environmental Matters	
☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 442 Employment Sentence	791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant) 7 871 IRS—Third Party	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act ☐ 895 Freedom of Information	
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land	Accommodations Habeas Corpus: 530 General	Security Act	26 USC 7609	Act	
245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION		900Appeal of Fee Determination	
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandamus & Othe Employment ☐ 550 Civil Rights	ar ☐ 462 Naturalization Application ☐ 463 Habeas Corpus -	•	Under Equal Access to Justice	
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 555 Prison Condition	Alien Detaince		5 950 Constitutionality of	
	Other 440 Other Civil Rights	☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions		State Statutes	
	S 7.0 Olike Cl. 7. Kigino				
`	an "X" in One Box Only) Comoved from 1 3 Remanded from		ferred from 17 6 Multidisti	Appeal to District Judge from	
	tate Court Appellate Court	Reopened anoth (speci	er district — Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACT	Brief description of cause:	o ming (bo not ene jar sanceron	ar statetes amean arready.		
	Assault		OVER THE L		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	N	DEMAND \$	JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: : ☐ Yes Ø No	
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	SE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE .	1	DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE	SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORMEY OF RECORD			
10/19/2011			Margasi		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
RECEIPT #	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DĞE	

Case 2:11-cv-06544-PD Document 1 Filed 10/19/11 Page 2 of 12

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: 1440 Wolf Street, Apt. 3, Philadelphia	ı, PA 19145		
Address of Defendant: One Parkway, 1515 Arch Street, Philad	le1hpia, PA 19102		
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Philadelphia, PA			
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: THE LEGET FILES (Use Reverse Side For Ada	litional Space)		
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and	any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?		
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Yes□ No 🖾		
11111	Yes□ No[X]		
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	1650 140—		
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Judge Case Number:	Date Terminated:		
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:			
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year			
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior sui	Yes No 1		
action in this court?			
	Yes□ No⊠		
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier nur	mbered case pending or within one year previously Yes Note Note		
terminated action in this court?			
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights			
	Ycs□ No 🖺 ·		
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)			
 ∧ Federal Question Cases: 1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts		
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury		
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation		
4. □ Antitrust	4. ☐ Marine Personal Injury		
5. Patent	5. ☐ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury		
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please		
	specify)		
7. 🅦 Civil Rights	7. Products Liability		
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos		
9. ☐ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases		
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)		
11. □ All other Federal Question Cases			
(Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTI	FICATION		
(Check Appropriate Cat	tegory)		
Harry J. Kane, Jr. counsel of record do hereby certify Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and b	r: petiof the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of		
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	11.		
☐ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.			
DATE: October 19, 2011 Harry J. Kane, Jr	52610		
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#		
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there	e Mas been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.		
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or v	vithin one year previously terminated action in this court		
except as noted above.			
DATE: October 19, 2011 Harry J. Kane, Jr.	52610		
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#		

Case 2:11-cv-06544-PD Document 1 Filed 10/19/11 Page 3 of 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA - DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: 1440 Wolf Street, Apt. 3, Philadelphia, PA 19145 One Parkway, 1515 Arch Street, Philadelhpia, PA 19102 Philadelphia, PA Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? Yes No 🔼 (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) NoX Yes□ Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Date Terminated: Case Number: ____ Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes No 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes□ No 💆 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously Yes□ No. terminated action in this court? 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? $_{\text{Yes}}\square$ CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: A. Federal Question Cases: 1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 1.

Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2.

Airplane Personal Injury 2. D FELA 3. Assault, Defamation 3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. ☐ Marine Personal Injury 4. □ Antitrust 5.

Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 5. D Patent 6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please 6. □ Labor-Management Relations specify) 7.

Products Liability 7. A. Civil Rights 8.

Products Liability — Asbestos 8. □ Habeas Corpus 9. □ All other Diversity Cases 9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases (Please specify) 10. □ Social Security Review Cases 11. □ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) Harry J. Kane, Jr. , counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; ☐ Relief other than monetary damages is sought. DATE: October 19, 2011 Harry J. Kane, 52610 Attorney l.D.# Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above. 52610 DATE: October 19, 2011

Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (6/08)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX N	Number	E-Mail Address				
215-576-0100	215-57	6-6288	hkane@saffwein.com				
October 19, 2011 Date		. Kane, Jr. ey-at-law	Plaintiff Attorney for				
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that d	o not fall into a	ny one of the other tracks.	X)			
(e) Special Management – Commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse smanagement cases.)	complex and	d that need spec	ial or intense management by description of special)			
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.							
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.							
(b) Social Security - Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.							
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.							
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	DLLOWING	G CASE MANA	AGEMENT TRACKS:				
plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant s	se Managemore a copy on all event that a deshall, with its ties, a Case I	ent Track Desig I defendants. (S lefendant does first appearanc Management Ti	Reduction Plan of this court, counsel fraction Form in all civil cases at the time see § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the revenot agree with the plaintiff regarding sae, submit to the clerk of court and serve ack Designation Form specifying the tragned.	of se id on			
CITY OF PHILADELPHIA,	ET AL	:	NO.				
v.		:					
AMANDA LEONETTI		:	CIVIL ACTION				

(Civ. 660) 10/02

AMANDA LEONETTI

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

AMANDA LEONETTI : CIVIL ACTION NO.

1440 Wolf St.

Apt. 3

Philadelphia, PA 19145

Plaintiff,

v. : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA :

One Parkway

1515 Arch Street

Philadelphia, PA 19102-1595

and

POLICE OFFICER JEAN SPICER : COMPLAINT

One Parkway

1515 Arch Street

Philadelphia, PA 19102-1595,

Defendants.

JURISDICTION

This action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1983. Jurisdiction is based upon 28 U.S.C. Section 1331 and 1343(1), (3), (4), and the aforementioned statutory provision. Plaintiffs further invoke the pendent and ancillary jurisdiction of this Court to hear and decide claims arising under state law.

VENUE

Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. Section 1391(b) because the cause of action upon which the Complaint is based arose in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania which is in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

PARTIES

 Plaintiff, AMANDA LEONETTI, is a citizen and resident of the City of Philadelphia, Philadelphia County, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

- Defendant City of Philadelphia is a municipality in the City of Philadelphia,
 Philadelphia County existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of
 Pennsylvania.
- 3. At all times relevant herein the aforementioned Defendant employed Police officer JEAN SPICER who was at all times relevant hereto an officer with the City of Philadelphia Police Department and is being sued both individually and as officers, agents and/or employees of the City of Philadelphia.
- 4. On October 22, 2009 at approximately 12:45 a.m., Plaintiff AMANDA LEONETTI was among thousands of Phillies fans located at Broad and Shunk St in South Philadelphia celebrating the Phillies' pennant clinching.
- 5. At the aforementioned time and place, Defendant, SPICER was among the Philadelphia Police officers dispatched to the area for crowd control.
- 6. As the Plaintiff attempted to cross Broad Street to return to her home on Wolf St., she was assaulted by Officer Spicer, who threw her to the ground, placed plastic handcuffs upon her and Physically abused her, without cause or justification.
- 7. At the aforementioned time and place, Plaintiff was detained by Defendants, and held for transport to be charged with a Disorderly Conduct offense, pretextually fabricated by Officer Spicer to cover for her assault upon the plaintiff.
- 8. Plaintiff was ultimately released at approximately 4:00 a.m from this unlawful and wrongful detention.
- 9. Plaintiff suffered physical injury, shame and humiliation as a result of Officer Spicer's outrageous and unlawful conduct and her arrest and humiliation having been broadcast on local news.

- 10. On December 18, 2009, all charges against the plaintiff were dismissed by the trial court when the City and Officer Spicer failed to proceed.
- 11. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned acts and omissions of the Defendants, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from but not limited to the following:
 - A. Severe degradation, humiliation, embarrassment, emotional stress and anxiety;
 - B. Extreme fear, anxiety and paranoia especially upon seeing police officers and constables;
 - C. Damage to his reputation as a good, honest and law abiding citizen;
 - D. Having been and may continue to be prevented to attending to some or all of his usual daily activities to his great detriment and loss;
 - E. Wrist trauma;
 - F. Post-Traumatic Stress/Anxiety Syndrome including but not limited to sleeplessness, dizziness, nausea and feelings of despair.
 - L. Injuries to his nerves and nervous system;
- 12. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned actions of the Defendants,
 Plaintiff has been obliged to and may continue to expend various sums of money
 and to incur various expenditures for medical expenses for an indefinite period of
 time in the future, to his great detriment and loss.
- 13. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned actions of Defendants,
 Plaintiff, has suffered a severe loss of earnings and impairment of his earning

- capacity or power, which will continue for an indefinite period of time in the future to his great detriment and loss.
- 14. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned actions of the Defendants,
 Plaintiff has and will thereafter incur other financial expenses and losses.
- 15. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned actions of the Defendants,
 Plaintiff, has suffered agonizing aches, severe physical trauma and pains,
 contusions, bruises, numbness, disability, mental anguish, humiliation and
 emotional distress and he will continue to suffer the same for an indefinite time in
 the future, to his great detriment and loss.

COUNT I 42 U.S.C. SECTION 1983 AND 1988

- 16. Paragraphs 1 through 15 are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth herein at length.
- 17. As aforementioned, Defendant, SPICER, acted in the course and scope of her employment, under the color of state law, and pursuant to the customs, policies and practices of the Defendant City of Philadelphia intentionally and maliciously assaulted Plaintiff and detained Plaintiff without probable cause, without due process of law and depriving them of the equal protection of the law and depriving them of the privileges and immunities afforded to citizens of the United States, all of which actions violated the Plaintiffs' rights under the Fourth, Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments of the Constitution of the United States, laws of the United States and in violation of 42 U.S.C. Section 1983.
- 18. As aforementioned, Defendant SPICER acted in the course and scope of her

employment, under the color of state law, and pursuant to the customs, policies and practices of the Defendant, City of Philadelphia when she intentionally and maliciously assaulted the Plaintiff and used her authority illegally and improperly to punish the Plaintiffs all of which violated the Plaintiffs' rights under the Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Eight and Fourteenth Amendments of the Constitution of the United States, the laws of the United States and in violation of 42 U.S.C. Section 1983.

19. The above described actions of Defendant, SPICER, were so malicious intentional and/or grossly negligent, displayed such a reckless indifference to the Plaintiff's rights and well being that the imposition of punitive damages is warranted against Defendant SPICER.

WHEREFORE, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1983 and Section 1988 Plaintiff demands compensatory and punitive damages against all named Defendants in an amount in excess of \$100,000.00.

COUNT II EXCESSIVE FORCE 42 U.S.C. SECTION 1983 AND 1988

- 20. Paragraphs 1 through 19 are incorporated herein by reference as though each were fully herein set forth at length.
- 21. The injuries and damages aforementioned were caused solely and exclusively by the carelessness and negligence of the Defendants its police officers, constables agents and/or employees. In addition to that mentioned above consisted of the following:
 - A. Failing to restrain the unreasonable seizure of persons without probable cause, without valid warrants by Defendants, police officers and/or

constables;

- B. Failing to restrain the unreasonable assault upon the plaintiff;
- C. Failing to properly supervise and/or control police officers and/or other agents of Defendant City of Philadelphia;
- Using excessive force and inappropriate means by which to subdue
 Plaintiffs and take them into police custody;
- E. Failing to properly train, test and/or select police officers and/or constables;
- F. Failing to exercise due care for the Plaintiffs' safety under the circumstances;
- G. Failing to prevent Plaintiffs from being falsely invaded, falsely imprisoned and maliciously prosecuted by its officers where Defendants knew or had reason to know the previous conduct of said officers;
- H. Otherwise acted without due regard for the rights, safety and position of the Plaintiffs herein; and
- I. Otherwise violating the ordinances of the City of Philadelphia and statutes of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, demands judgment against all Defendants jointly and severally in an amount in excess of \$100,000.00 plus costs, attorney fees and delay damages.

COUNT III FALSE ARREST AND FALSE IMPRISONMENT

22. Paragraphs 1 through 21 are incorporated herein by reference as if each were set

- forth herein at length.
- 23. Defendants SPICER, intentionally caused the false arrest and imprisonment of the Plaintiffs without probable cause, without privilege and against the Plaintiff's will.
- 24. As a direct and proximate result of the malicious and intentional acts of the Defendants' and their employees, Plaintiff suffered injuries which are described above.
- 25. The above described actions of Defendant, SPICER, were so malicious, intentional and reckless and displayed such a reckless indifference to the Plaintiffs' rights and well-being that the imposition of punitive damages is warranted against Defendant.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demands compensatory and punitive damages against the Defendants jointly and severally to the common law torts of false arrest and false imprisonment and in an amount in excess of \$100,000.00 plus costs, interest, attorney fees and delay damages.

COUNT IV INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS 42 U.S.C. SECTION 1983 AND 1988

- 26. Paragraphs 1 through 25 are incorporated herein by reference as if each were fully herein set forth at length.
- 27. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that Defendants did inflict serious emotional distress upon the Plaintiff in a careless and reckless manner.
- 28. As a direct result of the actions of the Defendants, due to the actions of Defendant SPICER, Plaintiff has been caused severe emotional damage and

experienced great emotional trauma.

29. Due to the negligence and recklessness of Defendant City of Philadelphia

individually and by and through the actions of the Defendant SPICER consisted

inter alia of the following:

A. Failing to have an existence and/or maintain proper personnel training

procedures necessary to prevent this type of conduct;

B. Failing to instruct and supervise their police officers in dealing with

members of the public;

C. Failing to restrain use of force and unlawful seizures of persons by

Defendant police officers and/or constables; and

E. Carelessly, recklessly and negligently employing, training, supervising

and implementing personnel policies and procedures as discovery will

disclose and Plaintiff hereby reserves the right to include other causes of

action and negligence after discovery has been completed.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, demands judgment against Defendants, jointly, severally and/or

individually in an amount in excess of \$100,000.00 plus costs, interest, attorney fees and delay

damages.

BY:

HÄRRY JÆKANE, JR., ESQUIRE

Pa. Atty. J.D. No. 52610

Saffren & Weinberg

815 Greenwood Avenue, Suite 22

Jenkintown, PA 19046

(215) 576-0100